

5.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The various agencies, affected stakeholders, and interested members of the community were allowed opportunities to provide input during the NEPA process. A number of public and plan development meetings were held to elicit input from interested parties. Table 6 provides a list of announcements, interagency coordination, and public meetings conducted throughout this process. A summary of the scoping process was included in Section 1.5 of the document, and copies of scoping documents and coordination letters are in Appendix A and Appendix B, respectively.

Table 6. Public Involvement Summary

Action	Location	Date
NOI published in Federal Register	NA	13 August 1999 (Volume 64, Number 156)
Scoping Letter Mailed	NA	26 October 1999
Scoping Meeting	Homestead, FL	16 November 1999
1 st Round of Modeling Posted on the USACE Website	NA	24 March 2000
Interagency Meeting	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	10 April 2000
Public Workshop	Homestead, FL	25 April 2000
2 nd Round of Modeling Posted on the USACE Website	NA	28 April 2000
Interagency Meeting	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	15 May 2000
3 rd Round of Modeling Posted on the USACE Website	NA	31 May 2000
Public Workshop	Homestead, FL	7 June 2000
Public Workshop	Homestead, FL	30 June 2001

6.0 DISTRIBUTION

A list of agencies, organizations, and private individuals that will be sent a copy of the DEIS is located in Appendix B.

7.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

Table 7. List of Preparers.

Name	Affiliation	Role
Dr. Jon Moulding, PhD.	USACE	Document Management and Review
Mr. Elmar Kurzbach	USACE	Document Review
Dr. Richard Punnett, PhD.	USACE	Hydrologic Modeling
Mr. Lan Do	USACE	Hydrologic Modeling
Ms. Susan Sylvester, PE	USACE	Hydrologic Review
Mr. James Riley	USACE	Water Quality Review
Ms. Cheryl Ulrich, PE	USACE	Project Manager, Document Review
Mr. Tracy Hendron	USACE	Hydrologic Review
Mr. Don Nelson	USACE	Document Review
Mr. John Brady	USACE	Document Review
Mr. Lee Swain	Dial Cordy and Associates	Impact Analysis, Document Preparation
Mr. Rahlff Ingle	Dial Cordy and Associates	Natural Resources Impact Analysis, Report Preparation
Mr. James Tuttle, P.E.	Gulf Engineers & Consultants	Hydrologic Analysis, Report Preparation
Ms. Markay Brown	Gulf Engineers & Consultants	Hydrologic Review, Engineering Appendix Preparation

8.0 CONCLUSIONS

The Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative meet or exceed the 30%, 45% and